UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,383	05/07/2001	Brenda Lynn Dietrich	YOR920010417US1	2717
	7590 02/25/201 ELLECTUAL PROPEI	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COU	JRTHOUSE ROAD	CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E		
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 2	22182-3817	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3695		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/850,383	DIETRICH, BRENDA LYNN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3695		

		SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3093	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	O <u>31 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
application, a application in	s filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following a condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apped Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The perio	d for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no event, Examiner MONTHS	d for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a set forth in (b) above	ay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date a date for purposes of determining the period of extention (1) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the so, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	 f Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
	ice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter peal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			e appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The propose	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
	aise new issues that would require further cor		E below);	
$\cdot = \cdot$	aise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**		
	re not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
	l; and/or resent additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
	E: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otod oldiirio.	
_	nents are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	osed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable		,	•	J
how the new	s of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I or amended claims would be rejected is proven the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allo	wed:			
Claim(s) obje				
	cted: <u>1-16,19,20 and 22-25</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR O	drawn from consideration: THER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit because app	or other evidence filed after a final action, bu licant failed to provide a showing of good and er presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered beca	or other evidence filed after the date of filing tuse the affidavit or other evidence failed to o and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavi	t or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	ECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request	t for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	ached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (<u>Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Narayanewamy Subra	manian/	
		/Narayanswamy Subra Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independent claims include new limitations that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's After-Final submission, made up of amendments to the claims and Remarks, has been considered. Neither the amendments nor the arguments establish the clear evidence of allowability as required by the MPEP. The MPEP generally discourages the reopening of prosecution once prosecution is closed through the issuance of a final rejection. The MPEP has a relatively high bar for an examiner's withdrawal of the finality of prosecution once it is closed through a final rejection, limiting such reopening of prosecution to clear evidence of allowability if such evidence were to come to the examiner in a timely manner upon the issuance of a final rejection, or in the case of the recognition of a serious legal error made in the final rejection. These guidelines are explained by MPEP 706.07(e) Withdrawal of Final Rejection, General. "See MPEP § 714.12 and § 714.13 for amendments after final rejection. Although it is permissible to withdraw a final rejection for the purpose of entering a new ground of rejection, this practice is to be limited to situations where a new reference either fully meets at least one claim or meets it except for differences which are shown to be completely obvious".